RADIOFREQUENCY TURBINATE REDUCTION IMPROVE QUALITY OF LIFE TURBINATE HYPERTROPHY PATIENTS

Arum Kartikadewi* Wahyu Budi Martono **Ratri Cahyaningtyas ***

* Anatomy Departement of Medical Faculty ,Muhammadiyah University of Semarang
** ENT Departement of Medical Faculty ,Muhammadiyah University of Semarang
*** Undergraduate Student of Medical Faculty, Muhammadiyah University of Semarang

Abstract

Turbinate Hypertrophy decreases quality of life due to nasal obstructions symptom. Radiofrequency turbinate reduction is minimal invasive surgical that expected to maintain nasal function. The surgical procedure compromises the improvement of patients' quality of life. There is no current consensus the difference quality of life before and after the procedure. Cross-sectonal design was done in 31 turbinate hypertrophy patients with radiofrequency turbinate reduction. Before and after the procedure, patient's quality of life was assessed using a sinonasal outcome test-22 (SNOT-22) questionnaire parameters. Questionnaire SNOT-22 scores before and after the procedure was analyzed by t-dependent test. Patients characteristic was 20-39 years old age, employed, has past allergic rhinitis. Mean of SNOT-22 total score before procedure was $60.35 \pm 7,264$ and the mean postoperative total score was $10.19 \pm 3,103$. There were significant differences between quality of life before and after radiofrequency turbinate reduction (p <0.0001). The better improvement symptom are nasal-throat symptom (4-79 %), head, ear and face symptom (2,6-6,4%), diminish sleep disturbance (58,6-71,6%), disturbance activity reduce 57,4-72,8%, and physicological stress reduce 2-25,8%. Radiofrequency turbinate reduction improve the quality of life turbinate hypertrophy patients

Keywords: Turbinate hypertrophy, Turbinate reduction radiofrequency, SNOT-22

INTRODUCTION

Turbinate hypertrophy is an enlargement of nasal turbinate mucosa. Most of hypertrophy which caused nasal blockage symptom located at inferior turbinate Reseach in Brazil, from the sample, 65,2% men and 34,8 % women have turbinate hypertrophy. Turbinate hypertrophy is a high concentration at age 10-40 years. The most Etiologic factors is rhinitis allergy (79,6%). Turbinate hypertrophy also associated with the air quality. Approximately about 50 % population have persistent turbinate disfunction and 20 % because of turbinate hypertrophy. About 5,6 % outpatient in the Adhiatma Semarang Hospital have turbinate hypertrophy. Predisposing factor of turbinate hipertophy are history of rhinitis allergy, vasomotor rhinitis, anatomic abnormality of nasal structure like septal deviation. Factor which aggravates hypertrophy are air pollution, high humidity and temperature, and other nasal irritant. Some case because of nasal decongestant overuses. The chief complaint of turbinate hypertrophy is nasal obstruction. Chronic nasal obstruction will decrease the quality of life due to local symptom like chronic obstruction, headache, thick mucous, a decrease of smelling and sleep disturbance. All that complaint could disrupt daily activity and decrease occupational productivity.6

Treatment of this complaints are removing possible irritant factors, give some steroid spray if there is any inflammatory condition. However if the enlargement because of bony structure or anatomycal abnormality, surgery or reconstruction will be required. Turbinoplasty or turbinate reduction procedure could be performed with rhinoplasty and septoplasty. Another common procedure is Radiofrequency turbinate reduction. Radiofrequency procedure is heating the mucosal turbinate with special electrical device. Heating the mucosa will make scarred of mucousal tissue and reduce the size of turbinate. Radiofrequency tecnique are less invasive and has good outcome.

One of sucess parameters of procedure is the increasing of patient quality of life after surgery. SNOT-22 is one of questionairre to asses quality of life after sinonasal procedure.

SNOT-22 will asses the nose-throat symptom, ear-face, head symptom, sleep disturbance, activity disturbance, and psychological disturbance.

There is no current consensus about the different quality of life before and after the procedure. This research will assess the difference of quality of life before and after radiofrequency turbinate reduction among the turbinate hypertrophy patients

RESEARCH METHODS

Cross-sectional design was done to patient who had undergone radiofrequency turbinate reduction . Sampling technique was total sampling, patients who met inclusion criteria (age > 18 years old, has turbinate hypertrophy and had undergone radiofrequency turbinate reduction at least 2 month ago). Patients with other procedure which combined with radiofrequency has been excluded from the sample. Patient with nasal anatomic abnormality, had systemic disease, had a history of antipsychotic, antihypertensive usage also had been excluded from the sample.

About 31 respondencts were given questionnaire about quality of life related to nasal symptom, according the SNOT-22. Patients have been assessed all symptom suitable with questionairre SNOT-22 before and after the radiofrequency procedure at one time examination. Sampling was done at least 2 months after the procedure, patients Quality of life scores based on SNOT-22 before and after the radiofrequency procedure was analyzed by t-dependent test.

RESULTS

Most of the patients are at age 20-29 and 30-39 years old (58 %). Female more frequently than male patients (54,8%). Most of respondents are employed (51%). Most of the respondents have past rhinitis allergy history (64,5%) and no respondent has past vasomotor rhinitis. Several symptoms, according SNOT-22 are nasal, ear throat symptom, face symptom, sleep disturbance symptom, activity disturbance symptom and psycological symptom.

Table 1. Patients Characteristic

| Characteristic | n | (%) |
|--------------------------|----|------|
| Age(years) | | |
| <20 | 6 | 19,4 |
| 20-29 | 9 | 29,0 |
| 30-39 | 9 | 29,0 |
| 40-49 | 6 | 19,0 |
| 50-59 | | |
| | 1 | 3,2 |
| Sex | | |
| Male | 14 | 45,2 |
| Female | 17 | 54,8 |
| Ocupations | | |
| Dentist | 1 | 3,2 |
| Teacher | 1 | 3,2 |
| Housewife | 4 | 12,9 |
| Employee | 16 | 51,6 |
| Student | 5 | 16,1 |
| Police | 1 | 3,2 |
| Enterpreuner | 3 | 9,7 |
| Rhinitis allergy hystory | J | |
| Yes | 11 | 35,5 |
| No | 20 | 64,5 |
| | _ | 170 |

No 31 100,0

The most symptom complaint by patients is nose - throat symptoms. Before the procedure patients need to blow up the nose (score: 3,77) and complain some nasal obstruction (score: 5). Other symptom are sneezing (4,65), runny nose (4.48) and decrease of smelling (4,42). After the radiofrequency procedure there are better improvement on nose and throat symptom. Sneezing symptom scores become 2,26 and runny nose scores become 1,26. The best improvement is nasal obstruction which score become 1,03. Need to blow up the nose score decrease 1,16. Dizziness score from 4,42 become 1,16. The procedure also improves the quality of sleep. Dificulty of falling sleep, wake at sleep, wake up tired reduce 58,6 % until 71,8 %. Better sleep increase productivity 72 %, increase

concentration 63 %. Patient also not feel fatiqued all the day 54,7%. The psychological impact outcome of the procedure is decreasing the psicological stress like sadness, stress and embarassment. Total difference before and after score radiofrequency was 82.99%. The total proven difference score was the quality of life. Hence the improvement Minimal clinically important difference (MCID) is 50,16%, so the difference 82.99 % have more important significancy at clinical outcome.

In conclusion, Radiofrequency turbinate reduction significantly increases quality of life turbinate hypertrophy patients based on SNOT-22 questionnairre(p:0,0001).

Table 2. Parameter Symptoms According SNOT-22

| V 1 | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| Variable | Mean Pre operative score | Mean Post operative score | Diference of score (%) | Significance |
| Nose and throat symptom | | | | |
| (1) Need to blow nose | $3,77 \pm 0,762$ | $1,16 \pm 0,523$ | 52,2 | P<0,0001* |
| (2) Sneezing | $4,65 \pm 0,798$ | $2,06 \pm 0,629$ | 51,8 | |
| (3) Runy nose | $4,48 \pm 0,677$ | $1,32 \pm 0,541$ | 63,2 | |
| (4) Cough | $2,26 \pm 1,123$ | $0,55 \pm 0,506$ | 34,2 | |
| (5) Post nasal discharge | $2,81 \pm 1,276$ | $0,45 \pm 0,624$ | 47,2 | |
| (6) Thick nasal discharge | $0,29 \pm 1,039$ | 0.03 ± 0.180 | 5,2 | |
| (21) decrease sense of | | | 78,4 | |
| smeelings | $4,42 \pm 0,923$ | $0,50 \pm 0,624$ | | |
| | 5,00 ± | | 79,4 | |
| (22) nasal blockage | 0,000 | $1,03 \pm 0,752$ | | |
| Head, face, ear symptom | | | | |
| (7) Full of ear sensation | $0,48 \pm 1,208$ | $0,10 \pm 0,539$ | 7,6 | |
| (8) Dizziness | $4,42 \pm 0,765$ | $1,19 \pm 0,749$ | 64,6 | |
| (9) Ear pains | $0,26 \pm 1,032$ | 0.13 ± 0.499 | 2,6 | |
| (10) Face pains | $0,42 \pm 1,177$ | $0,00 \pm 0,000$ | 8,4 | |
| Sleep disturbances | | | | |
| (11) Difficulty falling a sleep | $3,77 \pm 1,055$ | $0,19 \pm 0,402$ | 71,6 | |
| (12) Wake up at night | $3,55 \pm 0,925$ | $0,19 \pm 0,402$ | 67,2 | |
| | 3,48 ± | | 67 | |
| (13) Lack of night sleep | 0,890 | 0.13 ± 0.341 | | |
| (14) Wake up tired | $3,03 \pm 1,303$ | $0,10 \pm 0,301$ | 58,6 | |
| Disruption of activity | | | | |
| (15) fatique | $3,13 \pm 0,922$ | $0,26 \pm 0,445$ | 57,4 | |
| (16) Decrease of productivity | $4,19 \pm 1,046$ | | 72,8 | |
| (17) Reduce concentration | $3,42 \pm 0,672$ | $0,26 \pm 0,445$ | 63,2 | |

| Psicological Disturbance | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------|
| (18) Frustated/restless/iritable | $1,23 \pm 1,586$ | $0,06 \pm 0,250$ | 23,4 |
| (19) Sad | $1,32 \pm 1,536$ | 0.03 ± 0.180 | 25,8 |
| (20) Embarrassed | $0,10 \pm 0,539$ | $0,00\pm0,000$ | 2 |
| | $60,35 \pm$ | | 82,99 |
| Total score difference | 7 264 | 10 10 ± 2 102 | |

| | Variable | Mean Pre operative score | Mean Post operative score | Diference of score (%) | Significance |
|---------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| Nose a | and throat symptom | | | | |
| (21)Ne | ed to blow nose | $3,77 \pm 0,762$ | $1,16 \pm 0,523$ | 52,2 | P<0,0001* |
| (22) | Sneezing | $4,65 \pm 0,798$ | $2,06 \pm 0,629$ | 51,8 | |
| (23) | Runy nose | $4,48 \pm 0,677$ | $1,32 \pm 0,541$ | 63,2 | |
| (24) | Cough | $2,26 \pm 1,123$ | $0,55 \pm 0,506$ | 34,2 | |
| (25) | Post nasal discharge | $2,81 \pm 1,276$ | $0,45 \pm 0,624$ | 47,2 | |
| (26) | Thick nasal discharge | $0,29 \pm 1,039$ | 0.03 ± 0.180 | 5,2 | |
| (21) de | crease sense of | | | 78,4 | |
| smeeli | ngs | $4,42 \pm 0,923$ | $0,50 \pm 0,624$ | | |
| | | $5,00 \pm$ | | 79,4 | |
| (22) na | asal blockage | 0,000 | $1,03 \pm 0,752$ | | |
| Head, | face, ear symptom | | | | |
| (27) | Full of ear sensation | $0,48 \pm 1,208$ | $0,10 \pm 0,539$ | 7,6 | |
| (28) | Dizziness | $4,42 \pm 0,765$ | $1,19 \pm 0,749$ | 64,6 | |
| (29) | Ear pains | $0,26 \pm 1,032$ | 0.13 ± 0.499 | 2,6 | |
| (30) F | ace pains | $0,42 \pm 1,177$ | $0,00 \pm 0,000$ | 8,4 | |
| | disturbances | | | | |
| (31) D | oificulty falling a sleep | $3,77 \pm 1,055$ | $0,19 \pm 0,402$ | 71,6 | |
| | Vake up at night | $3,55 \pm 0,925$ | $0,19 \pm 0,402$ | 67,2 | |
| | 1 | 3,48 ± | , , , , , | 67 | |
| (33) L | ack of night sleep | 0,890 | 0.13 ± 0.341 | , | |
| | Wake up tired | $3,03 \pm 1,303$ | $0,10 \pm 0,301$ | 58,6 | |
| | ption of activity | 0, 0 ,0 0 | , , , , , , | o , | |
| (35) fa | | $3,13 \pm 0,922$ | $0,26 \pm 0,445$ | 57,4 | |
| | ecrease of productivity | $4,19 \pm 1,046$ | 0.55 ± 0.624 | 72,8 | |
| | leduce concentration | $3,42 \pm 0,672$ | $0,26 \pm 0,445$ | 63,2 | |
| Psicol | ogical Disturbance | | , , , , , , | 0. | |
| | rustated/restless/iritable | $1,23 \pm 1,586$ | 0.06 ± 0.250 | 23,4 | |
| (39) S | | $1,32 \pm 1,536$ | 0.03 ± 0.180 | 25,8 | |
| | mbarrassed | $0,10 \pm 0,539$ | 0,00± 0,000 | 2 | |
| | | 60,35 ± | • | 82,99 | |
| T | otal score difference | 7,264 | $10,19 \pm 3,103$ | | |

DISCUSSION

Based on the result, women more subseptible to turbinate hypertrophy because of hormonal effect. Progesterone tends to enlarge and congest the turbinate mucosa. Pregnancy and menstrual cycle also make turbinate mucosal hypertrophy.9

Adult 20-29 and 30-39 years more vulnerable to turbinate hypertrophy because this segment are productive age and more exposed with irritant or polutant.10 Most of patients are factory employee. Some industrial pollutant can affect the turbinate mucosal and make some mucosal inflammation. Most patients has past rhinitis allergy.

Rhinitis allergy makes chronic inflamation to nose mucosal especially at turbinate area.11

This result suitable with recent studies that inferior turbinate hypertrophy were affected from daily habits and daily activity at home or at work environtment. Other research mentioned that rhinitis allergy increase recurence of turbinate hypertrophy higher than normal people. 2

Before the procedure. five according SNOT-22 symptom questionairre are nasal obstruction. need to blow up, sneezing, runny nose, decrease sensation of smelling. After procedure there are beter imprevement in sneezing, runny nose, need to blow nose, dizziness, and nasal obstruction. resulted Other research that radiofrequency turbinate reduction gives long term good satisfaction, less pain and low complication regarding this procedure.12 After operative procedure they feel better improvement in nasal stuffiness, nasal obstruction and mouth breathing.13 In two years some patients relapsed experience especially rhinitis allergic patient. According NOSE scoring, there were no significantly diferrent beetween radiofrequency turbinate reduction and septoplasty or turbinectomy in efficacy operative outcome. post radiofrequency turbinate reduction has less complication, less of cost and hospitalization. Based on this fact, radiofrequency turbinate reduction should be considered as initial teraphy for inferior turbinate hypertrophy.14 Radiofrequency turbinate reduction appeared long term improvement in olfaction, nasal resistance and subjective benefit in allergic and non allergic patient.12, 15

Objective outcome of the procedure could be evaluated with acoustic manometri, rhinomanometry, and mucous cilliary transport time.

Radiofrequency turbinate reduction on chronic rhinitis and inferior turbinate hypertrophy patient will increase peak flow nasal inspiration, inferior turbinate grading and nasal symptom according visual analog scale, although result of smell ability test after procedure could procedure varv.16This significantly improves the role limitations, overall health, sleep, emotions, physical function and social function of patients.17

The mechanism ofradiofrequency treatment is creating scar at inferior submucousa, obliterate small vessels under the mucosa, partially destroy the goblet which provides the mucous, and inhibit local immune response. This process can reduce the hypertrophy of turbinate Reduction of turbinate could decrease nasal, ear, face throat symptoms so it can increase quality of sleep and daily activity. The improvement of sleep quality can reduce the psychological and physical stress. Better sleep quality also can reduce the fatigue, so it will increase the productivity of employees. Reducing of nose, ear, face, throat symptoms also can reduce stress and embarrassing among the patients. 19

The limitations of this research, because of cross-sectional design, pre and post operative questionnaire was examined at the same time so it can make recall bias among the subjet. Subject only compare their subjective complaints before and after the procedure, without measuring the objective sign and symptom based on SNOT-22 parameters.

For further research, Quasi experimental design more suitable for this research. Quasi experimental procedure can control the standard of treatment and control the other variable. Quasy experimental design also can avoid the recall bias. Parameter based on SNOT-22 should be crossed

check with objective measurements. For example, nasal obstructions could be with specific device like examined rhinomanometry. The grade of the reduction of turbinate before and after the procedure should be evaluated with Visual analog scale (VAS). After grading the turbinate, we should do the acoustic rhinomanometry rhinometry, determine the grade of nasal and ear obstruction. Mucousal cillyary testing also should be perfored to examine the mucoousal activity due to turbinate hypertrophy. 20

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank to the Adhiatma Semarang Hospital that provide patients medical records to our research. Wa also thank to ENT doctors of Adhiatma Hospital who gave reviews that improved this article.

REFERENCE

- Former SEJ, Eccles R. Chronic inferior turbinate enlargement and implications for surgical intervention. *rhinology*, 2006. 44 (4): 234-8
- 2. Cury SE, cury MD, Adrale E, Giosefi C, Cury R. The incidence of inferior turbinate hypertrophy in brazilian population. webmedcentral rhinology 2013;4(6):1-10
- 3. Meyers AD, Archer SM. Turbinate disfunction. *medscape*. 2016. available at http://emedicine.medscape.com
- Medical Records Divisions of Adhiatma Hospital Semarang. Prevalence of inferior turbinate hypertrophy in Adhiatma Hospital in 2015. Semarang, 2015
- 5. Jourdy D. Inferior turbinate reduction. *j otot*, 2014. 25 (2): 160-70
- Lippert B, Wener T. Treatment of the hypertrophic inferior turbinate. *Rhinology*. 1997 Mar; 35(1):33-6.
- Saulescu M, Sarafoleanu D. Surgery for nasal obstruction in inferior turbinate hypertrophy. romanian j rhinology. 2015; 5(17): 25-30
- 8. Satish HS,Sreedhar KT. Septoplasty outcome using snot-22 questionmaire study. *iosr-jdms*, 2013. 6(5): 34-8
- Naclerio RM, Bachert C, Baraniuk JN. Pathophysiology of nasal congestion.

- international j general medicine. 2010;(3): 47–57
- 10. Alhelo S and Shanoon A. The effectiveness and safety of radiofrequency in the management of nasal obstruction secondary to inferior turbinate hypertrophy. *int j multidiscip and curr*. 2016; (4):897-901
- 11. Morales M, Osuna A, Navarrete F, Lopez H, Medina G. Morphological features of the nasal mucosa in healthy children exposed to different concentrations of atmospheric pollution. *Revista allergia*.1998, 45(1):22-6
- 12. Banhiran W, Tantilipikorn P, Metheetrairut C, Assanasen P, Bunnag C. Quality of life in patients with chronic rhinitis afterradiofrequency inferior turbinate reduction. *j med assoc thai*.2010; 93 (8):950-65
- 13. Harril WCL, Pillsbury HC, Mcguirt FW, Stewart M. Radiofrequency turbinate reduction: a nose evaluation. *laryngoscope*. 2007;(117): .1912-19
- 14. Cavaliere M, Mottola G, Iemma M. Comparison of the effectiveness and safety of radiofrequency turbinoplasty and traditional surgical technique in treatment of inferior turbinate hypertropy. *otolaryngol head neck surg*, 2005. 133(6): 972-8
- Bhandarkar ND, Smith TL. Outcomes of surgery for inferior turbinate hypertrophy. curr opin otolaryngol head neck surg. 2010. 18 (1): 49-53
- 16. Passalli GC, Poscia A, De-waure C, Paludetti G, Galli J. Radiofrequency volumetric inferior turbinate reduction: long-term clinical results. acta otorhinolaryngologica italica 2016;36:199-205
- 17. Haries PG, Thomas S, Buckland JS. Can the sino-nasal outcome test (snot-22) be used as a reliable outcome measure for successful septal surgery? *clin otolaryngol*. 2003; 28(1):1-7
- 18. Garzaro M, Landolfo V, Pezzoli M, Defilippi S, Campisi P, Giordano C, Pecorari G. Radiofrequncy volume turbinate reduction versus partial turbinectomy: clinical and histological features. *am j rhinol allergy*, 2012. 26(4): 321-5
- 19. Hopskin C, Gillett S, Slack R. Psychometric validity of the 22-items sinonasal outcome test. *otolayngol*, 2009. 34: 447-54
- 20. National Institute for Health and Care Exellence. interventional procedure overview of radiofrequency tissue reduction for turbinate hypertrophy. Ipg495. 2013; 201(2): 1-3